UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

PATRICIA DESANTIS, et al.	CASE NO. C 07-3386 JSW
Plaintiff(s),	OABLICO.
V. CITY OF SANTA ROSA, et al.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED] ORDER SELECTING ADR PROCESS
Defendant(s).	
Counsel report that they have met following stipulation pursuant to Civil L.	and conferred regarding ADR and have reached the R. 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5:
The parties agree to participate in the foll	lowing ADR process:
Court Processes: Non-binding Arbitration (Early Neural Evaluation (Mediation (ADR L.R. 6)	ADR L.R. 4) (ENE) (ADR L.R. 5)
a 1 t 7:71. da mand their well	settlement conference with a Magistrate Judge is eds than any other form of ADR, must participate in an this form. They must instead file a Notice of Need for Rule 16-8 and ADR L.R. 3-5)
Private Process: Private ADR (please iden	ntify process and provider)
The parties agree to hold the ADR session the presumptive deadline referring the case to an A	on by: (The deadline is 90 days from the date of the order IDR process unless otherwise ordered.)
other requested deadline	
Dated: Sept. 28, 2007	John Houston Scott Aftorney for Plaintiff
Dated: Sept. 28, 2007	Caroline L. Fowler

Attorney for Defendant

[PROPOSED] ORDER

Pursuant to the Stipulation above, the captioned matter is hereby referred to:

Non-binding Arbitration

✓ Early Neutral Evaluation (ENE)

Mediation

Private ADR

Deadline for ADR session

√ 90 days from the date of this order.
other

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: October 2, 2007

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

by Hon. Phyllis J. Hamilton